Wik: Coexistence, pastoral leases, mining, native title and the ten point plan

Overview

In *The Wik Peoples v The State of Queensland & Ors; The Thayorre People v The State of Queensland & Ors* [1996] HCA 40 (‘Wik’), the High Court held that native title rights could coexist on land held by pastoral leaseholders. The High Court decided that:

- a pastoral lease does not necessarily confer rights of exclusive possession on the pastoralist
- the rights and obligations of the pastoralist depend on the terms of the lease and the law under which it was granted
- the mere grant of a pastoral lease does not necessarily extinguish any remaining native title rights
- if there is any inconsistency between the rights of the native title holders and the rights of the pastoralist, the rights of the native title holders must yield.

So if there is a conflict of rights, the native title holders come off second best. If there is no conflict, the rights of each co-exist.


This High Court decision prompted the Howard Government to implement amendments to the NTA. These amendments were known as the '10 Point Plan'. The Government's Native Title Amendment Bill 1997 was amended by the Senate twice, and finally passed in July 1998 following an agreement between the government and Senator Brian Harradine. Most of the provisions of the Native Title Amendment Act 1998 (Cth) came into force on 30 September 1998. The Native Title Amendment Act 1998 (Cth) changed significant aspects of the NTA. In introducing the legislation, the Howard Government stated that the amendments were needed to provide 'certainty' after the Wik decision. However, many groups argued that the amendments resulted in the reduction of only Indigenous peoples' rights.

Cases

*The Wik Peoples v The State of Queensland & Ors; The Thayorre People v The State of Queensland & Ors* [1996] HCA 40 (23 December 1996) - The High Court decision


Legislation

Native Title Act 1993 (Cth)
Native Title Amendment Act 1998 (Cth)

Media

Scaremongering on Wik Bill Should Cease: Law Council of Australia, 28 November 1997

'Wik 10 point plan': Prime Minister John Howard, 1 May 1997.

Case note


Background

The Wik decision arose out of two native title claims in Queensland, by the Wik peoples and the Thayorre people. The claims were over large areas which included a number of pastoral leases, and two special mining leases granted under ratified State Government agreements. The claimants asserted that their native title rights had survived the grant of the pastoral leases, and that the mining leases were invalid. The respondents to the claim asserted that, applying the principles stated by the High Court in Mabo, any native title which might have existed was necessarily extinguished by the grant of the pastoral leases. Justice Drummond in the Federal Court found against the claimants on both issues. The claimants’ appeal to the Full Court of the Federal Court was removed to the High Court. The High Court found for the claimants on the pastoral lease issue (by a majority of four judges to three), and found against them unanimously in relation to the special mining leases.
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